

An Outline of “Constituent Power and the Idea of Constitutional Revision within  
the Theories of the National Body (Kokutai-ron) during the War”

by  
Naoyuki Hayashi

This paper aims to shed light on the meaning of the non-constitutional traits of postwar ideas concerning constitutional revision through considering the relationship between the theories of constituent power, an idea in time of “crisis”, and Japanese nationalistic ideas as well as trends of thought, before, during, and after the war, that denied constitutionalism. With this aim in mind, the theories of the national body and the constitution of Minoda Muneki of Genri-nihonsha, Inoue Takamaro, a researcher at Kokumin-seishin-bunka-kenkyusho, and Ogushi Toyoo are analyzed. In addition, the postwar theories of Inoue Takamaro and Ogushi Toyoo concerning the invalidity of the present constitution are considered.

The results are as follows. Due to Japan’s defeat, the theories of the national polity that were formed during the war, which required the subjectification of “subjects” and the emperor, were transformed into theories that claimed, based on the “emperor’s decision” that surmounted the crisis, that the Constitution of the Empire of Japan maintained its legal continuity. Theories of the national polity became theories that explained Japan’s defeat, the acceptance of the Potsdam Declaration, and the promulgation of the Constitution of Japan in terms of the legal principles of the Constitution of the Empire of Japan. In other words, the acceptance of the Potsdam Declaration according to the “emperor’s decision” was deemed to be an invocation of emergency prerogative (invocation of constituent power), and an explanatory framework was established, which maintained that direct imperial rule was realized due to Japan’s defeat. These theories of the national body were carried over to the postwar era. Thus it was inevitable that theories arguing against the validity of the present constitution and for the promulgation of an independent constitution surfaced when the occupation ended. Since postwar ideas of constitutional revision were based on theories of the national body that were formed during the war, they were accompanied by non-constitutionalist traits such as the promulgation of an independent constitution and invalidity of the present constitution. This means that, in the postwar era, any revision of the Constitution of Japan required an invocation of constituent power (a constitutional revolution) that overlooks constitutionalism.

*Botchan* and 'Preface'

by  
Akio Nakahara

In spite of the flourishing of Sōseki industry, *Botchan* and *Bungakuron* have been almost always discussed separately, though they were published in the same year.

*Botchan* has been enormously popular since its publication. Its popularity seems to be international. One English translation compares *Botchan* to Salinger's *Catcher*. while another likens its dramatized version to *Chūshingura*.

Fortunately, I am not in a position to elucidate *Botchan*'s popularity, all that I have attempted to emphasize is that, according to Sōseki, his London years were 'the most unpleasant years' in his life, but that *Botchan*'s extraordinary narration could be born only after Sōseki's London ordeal.

Some Reflections on the Invention of “Catharism”:  
From Genealogies to Construction

by  
Takashi Odauchi

In this article I attempt to revise some fundamental preconceptions about “Cathar” heresy from the 12<sup>th</sup> to the 13<sup>th</sup> centuries. Until quite recently the historiography of Catharism have described this heresy in accordance with the clear and simple schema. Namely, Catharism was the dualist heretical Church from outside influences (directly from the Bobomils, probably going back to the 4<sup>th</sup> century Manichaeism). I call this schema the manichaeian paradigm. However, this schema is strongly determined by concepts of the medieval sources, that is, the discourses of the clergy. Now, a sort of “Copernican Revolution” is required. Through the disconstruction of texts concerning medieval heresies (in this case Catharism) the process of the “invention” of Cathar Heresy have to be dicifered and placed in the contexts of post-gregorian ecclesiastical developements and social and cultural changes during the 12<sup>th</sup> to the 13<sup>th</sup> centuries. First, I examine the historiography of the Catharism briefly, and clarify the textual mechanism that produces an illusion of historical veracity. Secondly, I shed light on the construction of “Cathar” heresy. Thirdly, main sources concerning Catharism are analyzed from above mentioned standpoint. In conclusion I want to argue that about the 1160s the manichaeian paradigm was established first in the Rheinland, and developed during the Albigensian Crusade all over the Latin Christendom. After that event another more sinister conceptions were developed. The establishment of the Inquisition in 1230s required these, including the myth of devil’s worshipers as heretics. The age of witch-hunting had come.

Metamorphosis of the Hana-block in Post-war Okinawa:  
A Research Review and Field Survey Report

by  
Naoki Isobe

Hana-blocks, a type of ornamental concrete block, were widely used in construction in the post-World War II period in Okinawa. The ground war turned the Okinawa into a scorched earth. Previous research has demonstrated that Hana-blocks were introduced to occupied Okinawa by the American forces, Concrete blocks were easy to produce and resistant to typhoon damage; thus, since the 1950s, they rapidly became a popular building material. However, in Okinawa, local ingenuity contributed to the emergence of a unique range of shapes, sizes, and patterns in the blocks. “Hana-block” came to be used as a nickname for ornamental concrete block. This paper reevaluates previous research and presents the results of a survey conducted by the author on Hana-block manufacturers in Okinawa.

## 訂正とお詫び

2014年度『藤健一教授退職記念論集』（641）号・「藤 健一教授 略歴 主要著書・論文目録」におきまして誤りがございましたので、お詫びして訂正を掲載させていただきます。

① 13頁 上から7行目

誤・・・「79.・・・長期連続事件場面における・・・」

正・・・「79.・・・長期連続実験場面における・・・」

② 13頁 上から14行目

誤・・・「82.・・・長期連続事件場面における・・・」

正・・・「82.・・・長期連続実験場面における・・・」

③ 13頁 上から23行目

誤・・・「86.・・・長期連続事件場面における・・・」

正・・・「86.・・・長期連続実験場面における・・・」

## 『立命館文學』 投稿規定および執筆要領

### 1. 掲載可能な研究分野

人文科学に属する学術研究に資するものであり、立命館大学人文学会活動の趣旨に沿うもの。

### 2. 投稿資格

次のいずれかに該当する者とする。

- ① 立命館大学人文学会正会員・学生会員である者。
- ② 立命館大学人文学会評議員の依頼もしくは推薦を受けた者。

### 3. 原稿

#### (1) 言語

日本語もしくは任意の外国語。外国語の場合、日本語訳文の添付を求める場合がある。

#### (2) 種類と分量

原稿の種類は次のいずれかに該当するものとする。ただし、原資料の掲載・複写が過半を占めるものは不可とする。

- ① 論文・研究ノート・調査報告・資料紹介・訳注（20,000字以内、欧文は10,000語以内）
- ② 学界動向（12,000字以内、欧文は6,000語以内）
- ③ 書評（8,000字以内、欧文は4,000語以内）

#### (3) 掲載書式

掲載書式はA4判（縦25.0cm 横16.3cm）で縦書きと横書きのいずれかを選択できる。縦書きの場合、本文は32字×26行の2段組、注・参考文献は34字×31行の2段組で掲載する。横書きの場合、本文は44字×39行の1段組、注・参考文献は47×47行の1段組で掲載する。図表を含めて14頁以内におさまらない場合、連載を基本とする。

#### (4) 要旨と題目

論文・研究ノート・調査報告・資料紹介・訳注については、原稿の言語の種類に拘らず、400～600字の日本語の要旨と題目、200～300語の英語（もしくは同分量の任意の外国語）の要旨と題目を添付する。

#### (5) 提出原稿の形態

原稿およびデータ（CD、USBメモリ等）を提出すること。図版については清書すること。

### 4. 審査

投稿原稿は編集委員会にて審査の上、採否を決定する。なお、学部生・大学院生およびそれに準ずる者が投稿する場合、事前に専攻・プログラムの教員の指導を受け、了解を得たものであることとする。

### 5. 校正

執筆者は本文2回、要旨1回を校正する。

### 6. 著作権

掲載された論文等の著作権は立命館大学人文学会に帰属する。掲載された論文等の転載は立命館大学人文学会の許可を必要とする。

### 7. 投稿先

〒603-8577 京都市北区等持院北町56-1  
立命館大学文学部内 立命館大学人文学会

### 8. 備考

- ・原稿は原則として立命館大学のホームページで公開する。
- ・抜刷は30部まで無料、超過分については実費を負担する。