

TOPOLOGICAL STABLE RANK OF INCLUSIONS OF UNITAL C*-ALGEBRAS

HIROYUKI OSAKA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE, OREGON
97403-1222, U.S.A.

AND

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, RITSUMEIKAN UNIVERSITY,
KUSATSU, SHIGA 525-8577, JAPAN

TAMOTSU TERUYA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, RYUKYU UNIVERSITY,
NISHIHARA-CHO, OKINAWA 903-0213, JAPAN

DEDICATED TO JUN TOMIYAMA ON HIS 70TH BIRTHDAY

ABSTRACT. Let $1 \in A \subset B$ be an inclusion of C*-algebras of C*-index-finite type with depth 2. We try to compute topological stable rank of B ($= \text{tsr}(B)$) when A has topological stable rank one. We show that $\text{tsr}(B) \leq 2$ when A is a tsr boundedly divisible algebra, in particular, A is a C*-minimal tensor product $UHF \otimes D$ with $\text{tsr}(D) = 1$. When G is a finite group and α is an action of G on UHF, we know that a crossed product algebra $UHF \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ has topological stable rank less than or equal to two.

These results are affirmative datum to a generalization of a question by B. Blackadar in 1988.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Topological stable rank	3
3. C*-Index Theory	5
4. Quasi-basis for a conditional expectation	8
5. Topological stable rank of inclusions of C*-algebras	9
References	13

Research partially supported by Ritsumeikan University's Fund for sabbatical leave from the University to conduct research abroad and JSPS Grant for Scientific Research No.14540217(c)(1).

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of topological stable rank for a C^* -algebra A , denoted by $\text{tsr}(A)$, was introduced by M. Rieffel, which generalizes the concept of dimension of a topological space ([34]). He presented the basic properties and stability theorem related to K-Theory for C^* -algebras. In [34] he proved that $\text{tsr}(A \times_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}) \leq \text{tsr}(A) + 1$, and asked if an irrational rotation algebra A_{θ} has topological stable rank two. I. Putnum ([33]) gave a complete answer to this question, that is, $\text{tsr}(A_{\theta}) = 1$. Moreover, using the notion of approximate divisibility and U. Haggerup's striking result ([19]), B. Blackadar, A. Kumjian, and M. Rørdam ([6]) proved that every nonrational noncommutative torus has topological stable rank one. Naturally, we pose a question of the how to compute topological stable rank of $A \times_{\alpha} G$ for any discrete group G .

On the contrary, one of long standing problems is whether a fixed point algebra of a UHF C^* -algebra by an action of a finite group G is an AF C^* -algebra. O. Bratteli ([7]) proved that any fixed point algebra of an UHF-algebra by a product type action of a finite abelian group is an AF C^* -algebra. In 1988, B. Blackadar ([4]) constructed a symmetry on the CAR algebra whose fixed point algebra is not an AF C^* -algebra. Note that A. Kumjian ([27]) constructed a symmetry on a simple AF C^* -algebra whose fixed point algebra is not an AF C^* -algebra. Later, D. Evans and A. Kishimoto proved that for any compact group $G \neq \{e\}$ and $p \geq 2$, there exists an action of G on M_p^{∞} whose fixed point algebra is not an AF C^* -algebra. All these constructions embodied expressing the AF C^* -algebra A as an inductive limit $A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A = \lim A_n$, where each C^* -algebra A_n is not an AF C^* -algebra. This is related to the classification theory of simple unital AH-algebras ([12],[13],[15]). Indeed, applying the classification theory G. Elliott constructed a symmetry α on an UHF algebra, and proved that $UHF \rtimes_{\alpha} (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is not AF C^* -algebra, but AI-algebra, that is, the inductive limit of direct sums of $C([0,1]) \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Note that this crossed product algebra has topological stable rank one and real rank one. B. Blackadar proposed the question in the same article whether $\text{tsr}(A \times_{\alpha} G) = 1$ for any unital AF C^* -algebra A , a finite group G , and an action α of G on A .

In this paper we try to solve B. Blackadar's question from more general situation using C^* -index theory defined by Y. Watatani ([36]). In the case that an inclusion $1 \in A \subset B$ is of index-finite type with depth 2 if A is tsr boundedly divisible algebra (see [35, Definition 4.1] and section 5) with $\text{tsr}(A) = 1$ we show that $\text{tsr}(B) \leq 2$ (Theorem 5.1). Hence if A is a UHF C^* -algebra, we conclude that $\text{tsr}(B) \leq 2$ under the above condition. Therefore we get an affirmative data to B. Blackadar's question. Namely, for any UHF C^* -algebra A , a finite group G , and an action α of G on A , we conclude that a crossed product algebra $A \times_{\alpha} G$ has $\text{tsr}(A \times_{\alpha} G) \leq 2$. We can not still get the complete answer, but it seems to guarantee that the question would be solved affirmatively.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we state a number of preliminary results about topological stable rank. In section 3 we explain a brief survey of C^* -index theory. In section 4 we study the quasi-basis for the induced conditional expectation of the derived inclusion $1 \in pAp \subset pBp$ from the inclusion $1 \in A \subset B$ and a non-zero projection $p \in A$. We give a new estimate of topological stable rank for the inclusion of index-finite type with depth 2 in section 5 and give the main

theorem, that is, that topological stable rank of a crossed product algebra of a UHF algebra by any finite group and any action has less than or equal to 2.

Acknowledgement

Some results of this note were conducted while the first author was in sabbatical leave visiting the University of Oregon. He would like to thank the member of the mathematics department there for their warm hospitality. In particular, he would like to thank Huaxin Lin and N. Christopher Phillips for many stimulating conversations about ranks. He also would like to thank Ken Goodearl for his fruitful discussion about cancellation property.

The second author would like to thank the Principal Masajiro Nashiro of Okinawa Shogaku high school for his moral and material support.

2. TOPOLOGICAL STABLE RANK

In this section we present a definition of topological stable rank and some basic estimate formulas for it.

Definition 2.1. *Let A be a unital C*-algebra and $Lg_n(A)$ be the set of elements (b_i) of A^n such that*

$$Ab_1 + Ab_2 + \dots + Ab_n = A.$$

Then topological stable rank of A , $\text{tsr}(A)$, to be the least integer n such that the set $Lg_n(A)$ is dense in A^n . Topological stable rank of a non-unital C-algebra is defined by topological stable rank of its unitization algebra \tilde{A}*

Note that $\text{tsr}(A) = 1$ is equivalent to have the dense set of invertible elements in \tilde{A} . Here are some formulas for computing stable rank of C*-algebras.

Lemma 2.2. *Let A be a unital C*-algebra, and let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in A$. The followings are equivalent:*

- (1) *There are $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n \in A$ such that $c_1a_1 + c_2a_2 + \dots + c_na_n$ is invertible.*
- (2) *There are $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n \in A$ such that $c_1a_1 + c_2a_2 + \dots + c_na_n = 1$.*
- (3) *$a_1^*a_1 + a_2^*a_2 + \dots + a_n^*a_n$ is invertible.*

Proof. Standard. □

Theorem 2.3 ([34]). (1) *Let J be a closed two-sided ideal of C*-algebra A . Then*

$$\text{tsr}(A) \leq \max\{\text{tsr}(J), \text{tsr}(A/J) + 1\}.$$

(2) *Let n be a positive integer. Then*

$$\text{tsr}(M_n(A)) = \left\lceil \frac{\text{tsr}(A) - 1}{n} \right\rceil + 1,$$

where $\lceil t \rceil$ denotes the least integer which is greater than or equal to t .

- (3) *Let p be a non-zero projection in A . Then $\text{tsr}(A) = 1$ if and only if $\text{tsr}(pAp) = \text{tsr}((1 - p)A(1 - p)) = 1$.*
- (4) *Let α be an action of A . Then*

$$\text{tsr}(A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}) \leq \text{tsr}(A) + 1.$$

- (5) Let \mathbb{K} be a C^* -algebra of compact operators on an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space. Then

$$\text{tsr}(A) = 1 \text{ if and only if } \text{tsr}(A \otimes \mathbb{K}) = 1.$$

From the point of C^* -module we have the following formula for topological stable rank.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $1 \in A \subset B$ be an inclusion of C^* -algebras. Suppose that there are elements $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n \in B$ such that*

$$B = Av_1 + Av_2 + \cdots + Av_n.$$

Then

$$\text{tsr}(B) \leq n \times \text{tsr}(A).$$

Proof. The proof is the same as in [23, Theorem 2.1]. We will put a sketch of its proof for a self-contained.

We first assume that $\text{tsr}(A) = 1$. Let $\{a_{i1}v_1 + \cdots + a_{in}v_n \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ be n elements in B . Then,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11}v_1 + a_{12}v_2 + \cdots + a_{1n}v_n \\ a_{21}v_1 + a_{22}v_2 + \cdots + a_{2n}v_n \\ \vdots \\ a_{n1}v_1 + a_{n2}v_2 + \cdots + a_{nn}v_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} = av$$

Since $\text{tsr}(M_n(A)) = 1$ by Theorem 2.3 (2), we can approximate the matrix (a_{ij}) close enough by an invertible matrix $x = (x_{ij})$ in $M_n(A)$. Then the element $y = xv$ is close to av . Since $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \in Lg_n(B)$, $(x_{11}v_1 + \cdots + x_{1n}v_n, \dots, x_{n1}v_1 + \cdots + x_{nn}v_n)$ belongs to $Lg_n(B)$ by Lemma 2.2, and is close to $(a_{11}v_1 + \cdots + a_{1n}v_n, \dots, a_{n1}v_1 + \cdots + a_{nn}v_n)$, which completes the proof in the case that $\text{tsr}(A) = 1$.

Similarly, one can prove the theorem when $\text{tsr}(A) > 1$ using Theorem 2.3 (2). \square

Corollary 2.5. *Let $1 \in A \subset B$ be an inclusion of C^* -algebras, and let E be a faithful conditional expectation from B to A of index finite type. That is, there is a quasi-basis $\{(v_i, v_i^*)\}_{i=1}^n$ in $B \times B$ such that any element $x \in B$ can be written as*

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n E(xv_i)v_i^* = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i E(v_i^*x).$$

Then

$$\text{tsr}(B) \leq n \times \text{tsr}(A).$$

Proof. Since

$$B = Av_1^* + Av_2^* + \cdots + Av_n^*,$$

we are done by Theorem 2.4. \square

Corollary 2.6. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra and let G be a finite group. Then*

$$\text{tsr}(A \rtimes_{\alpha} G) \leq |G| \text{tsr}(A),$$

where $|G|$ is the cardinal number of G .

Proof. Let $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ be a representation and we assume that the crossed product $A \rtimes_\alpha G$ acts on some Hilbert space. Let $\{u_g\}_{g \in G}$ be implemented unitaries of α_g such that $\alpha_g(a) = u_g a u_g^*$, for all $a \in A$. Then any element x in $A \rtimes_\alpha G$ can be written as $x = \sum_{g \in G} a_g u_g$. Let $E: A \rtimes_\alpha G \rightarrow A$ be the canonical conditional expectation by $E(x) = a_e$. Then,

$$x = \sum_{g \in G} E(x u_g^*) u_g, \quad \forall x \in A \rtimes_\alpha G.$$

Therefore it follows from Corollary 2.5 that

$$\text{tsr}(A \rtimes_\alpha G) \leq |G| \text{tsr}(A).$$

□

Remark 2.7. *The estimate of topological stable rank of crossed product in Corollary 2.6 is not best one. Indeed, in the case of $G = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ we have*

$$\text{tsr}(A \rtimes_\alpha G) \leq \text{tsr}(A) + 1.$$

using Theorem 2.3 (4). But, in section 5 we show more better estimate in the case that A is *tsr boundedly divisible* with $\text{tsr}(A) = 1$, G any finite group, and α an action of G on A as follows:

$$\text{tsr}(A \rtimes_\alpha G) \leq 2.$$

3. C*-INDEX THEORY

In this section we summarize the C*-index theory of Y. Watatani ([36]).

Let $1 \in A \subset B$ be an inclusion of C*-algebras, and let $E: B \rightarrow A$ be a faithful conditional expectation from B to A .

A finite family $\{(u_1, v_1), \dots, (u_n, v_n)\}$ in $B \times B$ is called a *quasi-basis* for E if

$$\sum_{i=1}^n u_i E(v_i b) = \sum_{i=1}^n E(b u_i) v_i = b \text{ for } b \in B.$$

We say that a conditional expectation E is of *index-finite type* if there exists a quasi-basis for E . In this case the index of E is defined by

$$\text{Index}(E) = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i v_i.$$

(We say also that the inclusion $1 \in A \subset B$ is of *index-finite type*.)

Note that $\text{Index}(E)$ does not depend on the choice of a quasi-basis ([22, Example 3.14]) and every conditional expectation E of index-finite type on a C*-algebra has a quasi-basis of the form $\{(u_1, u_1^*), \dots, (u_n, u_n^*)\}$ ([36, Lemma 2.1.6]). Moreover $\text{Index}(E)$ is always contained in the center of B , so that it is a scalar whenever B has the trivial center, in particular when B is simple ([36, Proposition 2.3.4]).

Let $E: B \rightarrow A$ be a faithful conditional expectation. Then $B_A (= B)$ is a pre-Hilbert module over A with an A -valued inner product

$$\langle x, y \rangle = E(x^* y), \quad x, y \in B_A.$$

Let \mathcal{E} be the completion of B_A with respect to the norm on B_A defined by

$$\|x\|_{B_A} = \|E(x^*x)\|_A^{1/2}, \quad x \in B_A.$$

Then \mathcal{E} is a Hilbert C^* -module over A . Since E is faithful, the canonical map $B \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is injective. Let $L_A(\mathcal{E})$ be the set of all (right) A -module homomorphisms $T: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ with an adjoint A -module homomorphism $T^*: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ such that

$$\langle T\xi, \zeta \rangle = \langle \xi, T^*\zeta \rangle \quad \xi, \zeta \in \mathcal{E}.$$

Then $L_A(\mathcal{E})$ is a C^* -algebra with the operator norm $\|T\| = \sup\{\|T\xi\| : \|\xi\| = 1\}$. There is an injective $*$ -homomorphism $\lambda: B \rightarrow L_A(\mathcal{E})$ defined by

$$\lambda(b)x = bx$$

for $x \in B_A$ and $b \in B$, so that B can be viewed as a C^* -subalgebra of $L_A(\mathcal{E})$. Note that the map $e_A: B_A \rightarrow B_A$ defined by

$$e_Ax = E(x), \quad x \in B_A$$

is bounded and thus it can be extended to a bounded linear operator, denoted by e_A again, on \mathcal{E} . Then $e_A \in L_A(\mathcal{E})$ and $e_A = e_A^2 = e_A^*$; that is, e_A is a projection in $L_A(\mathcal{E})$. A projection e_A is called the *Jones projection* of E .

The (*reduced*) C^* -*basic construction* is a C^* -subalgebra of $L_A(\mathcal{E})$ defined to be

$$C^*(B, e_A) = \overline{\text{span}\{\lambda(x)e_A\lambda(y) \in L_A(\mathcal{E}) : x, y \in B\}}^{\|\cdot\|}$$

([36, Definition 2.1.2]).

Then we have

Lemma 3.1. ([36, Lemma 2.1.4])

- (1) $e_AC^*(B, e_A)e_A = \lambda(A)e_A$.
- (2) $\psi: A \rightarrow e_AC^*(B, e_A)e_A$, $\psi(a) = \lambda(a)e_A$, is a $*$ -isomorphism (onto).

Lemma 3.2. ([36, Lemma 2.1.5]) *The following are equivalent:*

- (1) $E: B \rightarrow A$ is of index-finite type.
- (2) $C^*(B, e_A)$ has an identity and there exists a number c with $0 < c < 1$ such that

$$E(x^*x) \geq c(x^*x) \quad x \in B.$$

The above inequality was shown first in [31] by Pimsner and Popa for the conditional expectation $E_N: M \rightarrow N$ from a type II_1 factor M onto its subfactor N (c can be taken as the inverse of the Jones index $[M : N]$).

A conditional expectation $E_B: C^*(B, e_A) \rightarrow B$ defined by

$$E_B(\lambda(x)e_A\lambda(y)) = (\text{Index}(E))^{-1}xy \text{ for } x \text{ and } y \in B$$

is called the *dual conditional expectation* of $E: B \rightarrow A$. If E is of index-finite type, so is E_B with a quasi-basis $\{(w_i, w_i^*)\}$, where $w_i = u_i e_A \text{Index}(E)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and $\{(u_i, u_i^*)\}$ is a quasi-basis for E ([36, Proposition 2.3.4]).

Even if $\text{Index}(E)$ is scalar, we do not know the relation between the number of pairs in a quasi-basis and $\text{Index}(E)$ ([22, Example 3.14][28, Lemma 3.4]). Izumi, however, showed recently that if we extend a conditional expectation E from σ -unital C^* -algebra D onto stable simple C^* -algebra C with $\overline{DC} = D$ to a faithful conditional expectation \tilde{E} from the multiplier algebra $M(D)$ onto $M(C)$, then it has only one pair as a quasi-basis ([22, Proposition 3.6]).

The inclusion $1 \in A \subset B$ of unital C*-algebras of index-finite type is said to have *finite depth* k if the derived tower obtained by iterating the basic construction

$$A' \cap A \subset A' \cap B \subset A' \cap B_2 \subset A' \cap B_3 \subset \dots$$

satisfies $(A' \cap B_k)e_k(A' \cap B_k) = A' \cap B_{k+1}$, where $\{e_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ are projections derived obtained by iterating the basic construction such that $B_{k+1} = C^*(B_k, e_k)$ ($k \geq 1$) ($B_1 = B, e_1 = e_A$). Let $E_k : B_{k+1} \rightarrow B_k$ be a faithful conditional expectation correspondent to e_k for $k \geq 1$. Moreover we have

Lemma 3.3. ([36, Lemma 2.3.5]) *Suppose $\text{Index}(\mathbf{E})$ is in A . Then*

$$\begin{cases} e_{k+1}e_k e_{k+1} = (\text{Index}(\mathbf{E}))^{-1}e_{k+1} \\ e_k e_{k+1} e_k = (\text{Index}(\mathbf{E}))^{-1}e_k \end{cases}$$

for $1 \leq k$.

When G is a finite group and α an action of G on A , it is well known that an inclusion $1 \in A \subset A \rtimes_\alpha G$ is of depth 2. We will give its proof for a self-contained.

Lemma 3.4. *Let A be a unital C*-algebra, G a finite group, and α an action of G on A . Then an inclusion $1 \in A \subset A \rtimes_\alpha G$ is of depth 2.*

Proof. Assume that $A \rtimes_\alpha G$ acts on some Hilbert space H , and there are implemented unitaries $\{u_g\}_{g \in G}$ such that $\alpha_g(a) = u_g a u_g^*$ and any element $x \in A \rtimes_\alpha G$ can be written by $x = \sum_{g \in G} a_g u_g$ for some $a_g \in A$. Let $E : A \rtimes_\alpha G \rightarrow A$ be the canonical conditional expectation by $E(\sum_{g \in G} a_g u_g) = a_e$. Then a set $\{(u_g^*, u_g)\}_{g \in G}$ is a quasi-basis for E . Note that $\text{Index}(\mathbf{E}) = |G|$, where $|G|$ is the cardinal number of G .

Let

$$1 \in A \subset A \rtimes_\alpha G \subset B_2 \subset B_3 \subset \dots$$

be a sequence of basic constructions.

Claim 1: $u_h e_A u_h^*$ is a projection from $\mathcal{E}_{A \rtimes_\alpha G}$ to $A u_h$ for each $h \in G$.

Proof. It is obvious that $u_h e_A u_h^*$ is projection. Since

$$\begin{aligned} u_h e_A u_h^* (\sum_g a_g u_g) &= u_h e_A \sum u_h^* a_g u_g \\ &= u_h E(\alpha_{h^{-1}}(a_g) u_h^* u_g) \\ &= u_h \alpha_{h^{-1}}(a_h) = a_h u_h, \end{aligned}$$

we have the claim 1. □

Claim 2: For any $h \in G$ $u_h e_A u_h^* \in A' \cap B_2$.

Proof. Since for any $a \in A$

$$\begin{aligned} u_h e_A u_h^* a &= u_h e_A u_h^* a u_h u_h^* \\ &= u_h e_A \alpha_{h^{-1}}(a) u_h^* \\ &= u_h \alpha_{h^{-1}}(a) e_A u_h^* \\ &= a u_h e_A u_h^*, \end{aligned}$$

we have the claim 2. □

Claim 3: $\{u_h e_A u_h^*\}_{h \in G}$ are orthogonal projections in B_2 .

Proof. Trivial.

Claim 4: $(A' \cap B_2)e_2(A' \cap B_2) = A' \cap B_3$, where e_2 is a projection correspondent to the dual conditional expectation from B_2 to B_1 .

Proof. Note that $(A' \cap B_2)e_2(A' \cap B_2)$ is a closed two-sided ideal of $A' \cap B_3$ ([18, Theorem 4.6.3(ii)]). So we have only to show that this ideal contains an identity.

Since $u_g e_A u_g^* \in A' \cap B_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (u_g e_A u_g^*) e_2 (u_g e_A u_g^*) &= u_g e_A e_2 e_A u_g^* \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} u_g e_A u_g^* \in (A' \cap B_2) e_2 (A' \cap B_2). \end{aligned}$$

The last equality comes from Lemma 3.2. Hence

$$1 = \sum_{g \in G} u_g e_A u_g^* \in (A' \cap B_2) e_2 (A' \cap B_2).$$

This means that the inclusion $A \subset A \rtimes_\alpha G$ is of depth 2. \square

Remark 3.5. *When A is simple and α is outer, the crossed product $A \rtimes_\alpha G$ is simple ([24]) and we easily have*

- (1) $A' \cap B_2$ is isomorphic to $\sum_{g \in G} \mathbb{C} e_g$, where $e_g = u_g e_A u_g^*$
- (2) $A' \cap B_3$ is isomorphic to $M_{|G|}(\mathbb{C})$.

4. QUASI-BASIS FOR A CONDITIONAL EXPECTATION

Let $1 \in A \subset B$ be an inclusion of unital C^* -algebras and $E : B \rightarrow A$ be a faithful conditional expectation of index-finite type. Let $\{(v_i, v_i^*)\}_{i=1}^n$ be a quasi-basis for E .

The following is kindly informed by Y. Watatani ([37]) to the first author.

Proposition 4.1. *Under the above situation if a projection p in A has elements $\{y_j\}_{j=1}^m$ in A such that $\sum_{j=1}^m y_j p y_j^* = 1$, then a set $\{(pv_i y_j p, py_j^* v_i^* p)\}_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m}$ is a quasi-basis for a conditional expectation $F_p = E|_{pBp}$ from pBp onto pAp . Moreover $\text{Index}(E) = \text{Index}(F_p)$.*

Proof. It follows from the direct computation. Indeed for any $b \in B$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j} pv_i y_j p F_p (py_j^* v_i^* p p b p) &= \sum_{i,j} pv_i y_j p E (y_j^* v_i^* p p b p) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n pv_i (\sum_{j=1}^m y_j p y_j^*) E (v_i^* p p b p) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n pv_i E (v_i^* p b p) = p(p b p) = p b p. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\sum_{i,j} F_p (p b p p v_i y_j p) p y_j^* x_i^* p = p b p.$$

So $\{(pv_i y_j p, py_j^* x_i^* p)\}_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m}$ is a quasi-basis for F_p and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Index}(F_p) &= \sum_{i,j} pv_i y_j p y_j^* x_i^* p = \sum_{i=1}^n pv_i (\sum_{j=1}^m y_j p y_j^*) v_i^* p \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n pv_i v_i^* p = (\text{Index}(E))p. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Corollary 4.2. *Let $1 \in A \subset B$ be an inclusion of unital C^* -algebras and $E : B \rightarrow A$ be a faithful conditional expectation of index-finite type. Suppose that A is simple. Then for any non-zero projection p in A the conditional expectation $F_p = E|_{pBp} : pBp \rightarrow pAp$ is of index-finite type.*

Proof. Since A is simple, there are finite elements a_i such that $\sum_i a_i p a_i^* = 1$. So the statement comes from the previous proposition. \square

The following result shows that the Jones projection of F_p in the previous result is e_{Ap} .

Proposition 4.3. *Let $1 \in A \subset B$ be an inclusion of C*-algebras with finite index, and let e_A be the Jones projection correspondent to a faithful conditional expectation $E: B \rightarrow A$. Suppose that A is simple. Then for any projection $p \in A$, e_{Ap} is the Jones projection for the conditional expectation $F_p = E|_{pBp}: pBp \rightarrow pAp$ and $pC^*(B, e_A)p$ is the basic construction for F_p .*

Proof. For any $x \in pBp$

$$e_{Ap} x e_{Ap} = E(px) e_{Ap} = E(pxp) e_{Ap} = F_p(x) e_{Ap}.$$

Since A is simple, the map

$$pAp \ni x \rightarrow x e_{Ap} (= x e_A) \in L(\mathcal{E})$$

is injective, where \mathcal{E} is a Hilbert A -module obtained by the basic construction. Then by [36, Proposition 2.2.11] e_{Ap} is the Jones projection and $C^*(pBp, e_{Ap})$ is the basic construction for F . It is obvious that $C^*(pBp, e_{Ap}) = pC^*(B, e_A)p$. \square

The following result means that the number of elements in quasi-basis is stable under the particular situation.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $1 \in A \subset B$ be an inclusion of C*-algebras with finite index. Suppose that there is a C*-subalgebra D of A such that e_A is full in $D' \cap C^*(B, e_A)$. Then there are finitely elements $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$ in $D' \cap B$ such that for any non-zero projection $p \in D$ the sets $\{(v_i, v_i^*)\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{(pv_i, pv_i^*)\}_{i=1}^n$ are quasi-basis for E and F_p , respectively.*

Proof.

Since $(D' \cap C^*(B, e_A)) e_A (D' \cap C^*(B, e_A)) = D' \cap C^*(B, e_A)$, there are finitely elements $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ in $D' \cap C^*(B, e_A)$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i e_A x_i^* = 1.$$

Using the standard argument ([31]) we can find $v_i \in B$ such that $v_i e_A = x_i e_A$. Since E is faithful, $v_i \in D' \cap B$.

Since for any $b \in B$

$$\begin{aligned} b &= 1 \cdot b = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i e_A v_i^* b \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n v_i E(v_i^* b) = \sum_{i=1}^n E(b v_i) v_i^*, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that $\{(v_i, v_i^*)\}_{i=1}^n$ is a quasi-basis for E .

From the simple calculus we know that $\{(pv_i, pv_i^*)\}_{i=1}^n$ is a quasi-basis for F_p . \square

5. TOPOLOGICAL STABLE RANK OF INCLUSIONS OF C*-ALGEBRAS

In this section we prove the following main result:

Theorem 5.1. *Let $1 \in A \subset B$ be an inclusion of unital C^* -algebras and $E: B \rightarrow A$ be a faithful conditional expectation of index-finite type. Suppose that the inclusion $1 \in A \subset B$ has depth 2 and A is tsr boundedly divisible with $\text{tsr}(A) = 1$. Then B is tsr boundedly divisible. Moreover we have $\text{tsr}(B) \leq 2$.*

Recall that a C^* -algebra A is *tsr boundedly divisible* ([35, Definition 4.1]) if there is a constant $K (> 0)$ such that for every positive integer m there is an integer $n \geq m$ such that A can be expressed as $M_n(B)$ for a C^* -algebra B with $\text{tsr}(B) \leq K$. For any unital C^* -algebra A with $\text{tsr}(A) = 1$ a C^* -minimal tensor product algebra $A \otimes UHF$ is a typical tsr boundedly divisible algebra.

Before giving the proof, we state a useful result by B. Blackadar.

Lemma 5.2. ([1, Lemma A6]) *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra and p be a full projection in A such that $\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p v_i = 1$ for some elements u_i, v_i in A . Then $\text{tsr}(A) \leq \text{tsr}(pAp) + n - 1$.*

Remark 5.3. *Very recently, B. Blackadar sharpened the estimate in the previous lemma ([5]). That is, for any unital C^* -algebra A and non-zero projection $p \in A$ $\text{tsr}(A) \leq \text{tsr}(pAp)$.*

The following estimate is the converse of Corollary 2.5.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $1 \in A \subset B$ be an inclusion of unital C^* -algebra of index-finite type. Let $\{(v_i, v_i^*)\}_{i=1}^m$ be a quasi-basis for a faithful conditional expectation E from B onto A . Then we have*

$$\text{tsr}(A) \leq m^2(\text{tsr}(B) + 1) - 2m + 1.$$

Proof. Let B_2 be the basic construction derived from this inclusion and E_2 be the dual conditional expectation from B_2 onto B . Note that a set $\{(v_i e_A (\text{Index}(E))^{\frac{1}{2}}, (\text{Index}(E))^{\frac{1}{2}} e_A v_i^*)\}_{i=1}^m$ is the quasi-basis for E_2 . Hence from Corollary 2.5 we have

$$\text{tsr}(B_2) \leq m \times \text{tsr}(B).$$

By [36, Lemma 3.3.4] there is an isomorphism $\varphi: B_2 \rightarrow qM_m(A)q$ such that

$$\phi(xe_A y) = [E(v_i^* x)E(yv_j)]_{i,j=1}^m,$$

where $q = [E(v_i^* v_j)]$. Note that q is a projection.

Claim: There are $X_i, Y_i \in M_m(A)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^m X_i q Y_i = 1$.

Proof of the Claim: Let

$$(X_i)_{h,k} = \begin{cases} E(v_k) & \text{if } h = i \\ 0 & \text{others} \end{cases}, \quad (Y_i)_{h,k} = \begin{cases} E(v_h^*) & \text{if } k = i \\ 0 & \text{others} \end{cases}$$

for each $1 \leq h, k \leq m$.

Then from a simple calculation we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^m X_i q Y_i = 1.$$

So from Lemma 5.2 we have

$$\text{tsr}(M_m(A)) \leq \text{tsr}(qM_m(A)q) + m - 1.$$

Since $\varphi(B_2)$ is isomorphic to $qM_m(A)q$ and $\text{tsr}(B_2) \leq m \times \text{tsr}(B)$, we have

$$\text{tsr}(M_m(A)) \leq m \times \text{tsr}(B) + m - 1 = m(\text{tsr}(B) + 1) - 1.$$

Since from Theorem 2.3 (2) we have

$$\frac{\text{tsr}(A) - 1}{m} + 1 \leq \text{tsr}(M_m(A)) \leq m(\text{tsr}(B) + 1) - 1,$$

it follows that

$$\text{tsr}(A) \leq m^2(\text{tsr}(B) + 1) - 2m + 1.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 5.1

Let

$$1 \in A \subset B \subset B_2 \subset B_3 \subset \dots$$

be the derived tower of iterating the basic construction and $\{e_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ be canonical projections such that $B_{k+1} = C^*(B_k, e_k)$, where $e_1 = e_A$. Since $1 \in A \subset B$ is of depth 2, we have

$$(A' \cap B_2)e_2(A' \cap B_2) = A' \cap B_3.$$

Hence there exist some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ a quasi-basis $\{(u_i, u_i^*)\}_{i=1}^n$ for the conditional expectation E_2 from B_2 onto B so that $u_i \in A' \cap B_2$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ (see the proof of Proposition 4.4).

Since B_2 is stably isomorphic to A , we know that $\text{tsr}(B_2) = 1$ by Theorem 2.3 (5). Take non-zero projection p in A . Since $u_i \in A' \cap B_2$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, a set $\{(pu_i, u_i^*p)\}_{i=1}^n$ is a quasi-basis for the conditional expectation $F_p = E_2|_p B_2 p$ from $pB_2 p$ onto pBp . Hence from Proposition 5.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tsr}(pBp) &\leq n^2(\text{tsr}(pB_2 p) + 1) - 2n + 1 \\ &= 2n^2 - 2n + 1. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality comes from that $\text{tsr}(B_2) = 1$ and Theorem 2.3 (3).

Since A is tsr boundedly divisible, for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$ there are $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geq l$ and a C*-algebra D such that $A \cong M_k(D)$. Hence there is a matrix system $\{e_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^k$ in A such that $B \cong M_k(e_{1,1} B e_{1,1})$. Then from the above estimate we have

$$\text{tsr}(e_{1,1} B e_{1,1}) \leq n^2 + (n - 1)^2.$$

Therefore B is tsr boundedly divisible. From Theorem 2.3 (2) we can conclude that $\text{tsr}(B) \leq 2$. □

Corollary 5.5. *Let A be a tsr boundedly divisible, unital C*-algebra with $\text{tsr}(A) = 1$, G a finite group, and α an action of G on A . Then $A \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ is tsr boundedly divisible. Moreover we have $\text{tsr}(A \rtimes_{\alpha} G) \leq 2$.*

Proof. Since the inclusion $1 \in A \subset A \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ is of index-finite type with depth 2 by Lemma 3.4, we can get the statement from Theorem 5.1. □

Corollary 5.6. *Let A be a unital C*-algebra with $\text{tsr}(A) = 1$, G a finite group, and α an action of G on A . Then $(A \otimes UHF) \rtimes_{\alpha \otimes id} G$ is tsr boundedly divisible.*

Proof. Since $A \otimes UHF$ is tsr boundedly divisible and $\text{tsr}(A \otimes UHF) = 1$ by using Theorem 2.3 (2), it comes from Corollary 5.5. \square

When A in Corollary 5.6 is the trivial C^* -algebra \mathbb{C} , we can get an affirmative data for B. Blackadar's question.

Corollary 5.7. *Let A be a UHF C^* -algebra. Let G be a finite group, and α be an action of G on A . Then $A \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ is tsr boundedly divisible and*

$$\text{tsr}(A \rtimes_{\alpha} G) \leq 2.$$

Remark 5.8. *The estimate in Theorem 5.1 is best possible. Indeed in [4, Example 8.2.1] B. Blackadar constructed an symmetry action α on CAR such that*

$$(C[0, 1] \otimes CAR) \rtimes_{id \otimes \alpha} \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong C[0, 1] \otimes B,$$

where B is the Bunce-Deddens algebra of type 2^{∞} . Then since $K_1(B)$ is non-trivial, we know that

$$\text{tsr}(C[0, 1] \otimes B) = 2.$$

(See also [29, Proposition 5.2].)

Before ending this section we present an inclusion $1 \in A \subset B$ with index 2 such that A is a tsr boundedly divisible and B can not be realized as some crossed product algebra of A by $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Let A be a unital C^* -algebra, α an action of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ on A , and B be the crossed product $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Let E the canonical conditional expectation and u an implemented unitary u such that $\alpha(u) = uau^*$ for $a \in A$ as in the proof of Lemma 3.4. Then $\{(1, 1), (u^*, u)\}$ is a quasi-basis for E . Note that $E(u) = 0$. By [36, Lemma 3.3.4] there is a $*$ -isomorphism $\varphi : C^*(B, e_A) \rightarrow qM_2(A)q$ such that

$$q = \begin{pmatrix} E(1 \cdot 1) & E(u^*) \\ E(u) & E(uu^*) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\varphi(xe_Ay) = \begin{pmatrix} E(x)E(y) & E(x)E(yu^*) \\ E(ux)E(y) & E(ux)E(yu^*) \end{pmatrix}$$

for $x, y \in B$. Here e_A is the Jones projection for the inclusion $A \subset B$. Therefore we can identify the basic construction with $M_2(A)$.

By this identification,

$$A \cong \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha(a) \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in A \right\} \quad B \cong \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \alpha(b) & \alpha(a) \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in A \right\}$$

and

$$\varphi(e_A) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varphi(1 - e_A) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varphi(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that $[\varphi(e_A)] = [1 - \varphi(e_A)]$ in $K_0(A)$.

From this observation we have

Lemma 5.9. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra and α an action of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ on A . Let B be the crossed product $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and e_A the Jones projection of the inclusion $A \subset B$. If $\varphi : C^*(B, e_A) \rightarrow M_n(A)$ is the canonical isomorphism, then we have $[\varphi(e_A)] = [1 - \varphi(e_A)]$ in $K_0(A)$.*

Proposition 5.10. *Let A be a simple unital C*-algebra. Suppose that p is a projection in A with $[p] \neq [\alpha(p)]$ in $K_0(A)$. Then the inclusion $pAp \subset pBp$ can not be represented as $pAp \subset pAp \rtimes_{\beta} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ for any $\beta \in \text{Aut}(pAp)$.*

Proof. By the identification, $\varphi(p) = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha(p) \end{pmatrix}$. The Jones projection for the conditional expectation $F_p = E|_{pBp} : pBp \rightarrow pAp$ is e_{AP} by Proposition 4.3, so $\varphi(e_{AP}) = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $\varphi(p - e_{AP}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha(p) \end{pmatrix}$. By the assumption, $[\varphi(e_{AP})] \neq [\varphi(p - e_{AP})]$ in $K_0(A)$ and hence $[\varphi(e_{AP})] \neq [\varphi(p - e_{AP})]$ in $K_0(pAp)$. So we have the conclusion by Lemma 5.9. \square

Remark 5.11. *When $A = A_1 \oplus A_2$ for simple unital C*-algebras A_1 and A_2 , we can also get the same conclusion in Proposition 5.10. Indeed, e_{AP} becomes the Jones projection of the inclusion $pAp \subset pBp$ as the same argument in Proposition 4.3. \square*

The following example is due to T. Katsura and N. C. Phillips.

Example 5.12. *Let α be an automorphism on $CAR \otimes \mathbb{K}$ such that $[\alpha(1 \otimes e_0)] \neq [1 \otimes e_0]$ in $K_0(CAR)$, where e_0 is a minimal projection. Such an automorphism can be constructed by modifying the shift operator in [25]. Set D as the unitization of $CAR \otimes \mathbb{K}$. Then α can be an automorphism on D . We call it α again.*

Define a symmetry γ on $D \oplus D$ by $\gamma((a, b)) = (\alpha^{-1}(b), \alpha(a))$ for (a, b) in $D \oplus D$. Consider the inclusion

$$D \oplus D \subset (D \oplus D) \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} [\gamma((1 \otimes e_0, 1 \otimes e_0))] &= [(\alpha^{-1}(1 \otimes e_0), \alpha(1 \otimes e_0))] \\ &\neq [(1 \otimes e_0, 1 \otimes e_0)] \end{aligned}$$

in $K_0(D \oplus D)$ by the construction. We know that the inclusion

$$(1 \otimes e_0, 1 \otimes e_0)(D \oplus D)(1 \otimes e_0, 1 \otimes e_0) \subset (1 \otimes e_0, 1 \otimes e_0)((D \oplus D) \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})(1 \otimes e_0, 1 \otimes e_0)$$

can not be represented as

$$(1 \otimes e_0, 1 \otimes e_0)(D \oplus D)(1 \otimes e_0, 1 \otimes e_0) \subset (1 \otimes e_0, 1 \otimes e_0)(D \oplus D)(1 \otimes e_0, 1 \otimes e_0) \rtimes_{\beta} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

for any $\beta \in \text{Aut}((1 \otimes e_0, 1 \otimes e_0)(D \oplus D)(1 \otimes e_0, 1 \otimes e_0))$ by Proposition 5.10 and Remark 5.11. Note that

$$(1 \otimes e_0, 1 \otimes e_0)(D \oplus D)(1 \otimes e_0, 1 \otimes e_0) \cong CAR \oplus CAR,$$

that is, the algebra is tsr boundedly divisible. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Blackadar, *A stable cancellation theorem for simple C*-algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 47(1983), 285 - 302.
- [2] B. Blackadar, *K-theory for Operator Algebras*, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications 5(1988), second edition, Cambridge press.
- [3] B. Blackadar, *Comparison Theory for simple C*-algebras*, Operator algebras and Applications, LMS Lecture Notes, no. 135, Cambridge University Press, 1988.
- [4] B. Blackadar, *Symmetries of the CAR algebra*, Annals of Math. 131(1990), 589 - 623.

- [5] B. Blackadar, *Stable rank of a corner of a C^* -algebras*, Operator Algebras and Applications, ICM 2002 Satellite Conference, Chengde, August 13 - 19(2002).
- [6] B. Blackadar, A. Kumjian, and M. Rørdam, *Approximately central matrix units and the structure of noncommutative tori*, K-theory 6(1992), 267 - 284.
- [7] O. Bratteli, *Crossed products of UHF algebras by product type actions*, Duke Math. 46(1979), 1 - 23.
- [8] L. G. Brown, *Stable isomorphism of hereditary subalgebras of C^* -algebras*, Pacific J. Math. 71(1977), 335 - 348.
- [9] L. G. Brown and G. K. Pedersen, *C^* -algebras of real rank zero*, J. Funct. Anal. 99(1991), 131 - 149.
- [10] L. G. Brown and G. K. Pedersen, *On the geometry of the unit ball of a C^* -algebra*, J. reine angew. Math. 469(1995), 113 - 147.
- [11] J. Cuntz, *The structure of multiplication and addition in simple C^* -algebras*, Math. Scand. 40(1977), 215 - 233.
- [12] M. Dădărlat and G. Gong, *A classification result for approximately homogeneous C^* -algebras of real rank zero*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 7(1997), 646 - 711.
- [13] G. A. Elliott, *On the classification of C^* -algebras of real rank zero*, J. Reine. Angrew. Math. 443(1993), 179 - 219.
- [14] G. A. Elliott, *A classification of certain simple C^* -algebras*, Quantum and Non-Commutative Analysis (ed. H. Araki et al), 373 - 385, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [15] G. A. Elliott and G. Gong, *On the classification of C^* -algebras of real rank zero II*, Ann. of Math. 144(1996), 497 - 610.
- [16] M. Frank and E. Kirchberg, *On conditional expectations of finite index*, J. Operator Theory 40(1998), 87 - 111.
- [17] K. Goodearl, private communication.
- [18] F. M. Goodman, P. de la Harpe, and V. F. R. Jones, *Coxeter Graphs and Towers of Algebras*, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publication 14(1989), Springer-Verlag, New York Berlin Heidelberg London Paris Tokyo.
- [19] U. Haagerup, *Quasitraces on exact C^* -algebras are traces*, preprint.
- [20] M. Izumi, *Index theory of simple C^* -algebras*, Workshop "Subfactors and their applications", The Fields Institute, March 1995.
- [21] M. Izumi, Lecture at Tokyo Metropolitan University, 1997.
- [22] M. Izumi, *Inclusions of simple C^* -algebras*, J. reine angew. Math. 547(2002), 97 - 138.
- [23] J. A. Jeong and H. Osaka, *Extremally rich C^* -crossed products and cancellation property*, J. Australian Math. Soc.(Series A) 64(1998), 285 - 301.
- [24] A. Kishimoto, *Outer automorphisms and reduced crossed products of simple C^* -algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. 81(1981), 429 - 435.
- [25] A. Kishimoto, *Non-commutative shifts and crossed products*, J. Funct. Anal. 200(2003), 281 - 300.
- [26] K. Kodaka and T. Teruya, *Involutive equivalence bimodules and inclusions of C^* -algebras with watatani index 2*, preprint.
- [27] A. Kumjian, *An involutive automorphism of the Bunce-Deddens algebra*, C. R. Math. Sci. Canada, 10(1988), 217 - 218.
- [28] H. Osaka, *SP-property for a pair of C^* -algebras*, J. Operator Theory 46(2001), 159 - 171.
- [29] M. Nagisa, H. Osaka, and N. C. Phillips, *Ranks of algebras of continuous C^* -algebra valued functions*, Canad. J. Math. 53(2001), 979 - 1030.
- [30] G. K. Pedersen, *C^* -algebras and their automorphism groups*, London Mathematical Society Monographs, 14. Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], London-New York, 1979.
- [31] M. Pimsner and S. Popa, *Entropy and index for s ubfactors*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) 19(1986), 57 - 106.
- [32] M. Pimsner and S. Popa, *Iterating the basic construction*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 310(1988), 127 - 133.
- [33] I. Putnam, *The invertible elements are dense in the irrational rotation algebras*, J. Rein. Angew. Math. 410(1990), 160 - 166.
- [34] M. A. Rieffel, *Dimension and stable rank in the K -theory of C^* -algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 46(1983), 301 - 333.

- [35] M. A. Rieffel, *The homotopy groups of the unitary groups of non-commutative tori*, J. Operator Theory 17(1987), 237 - 254.
- [36] Y. Watatani, *Index for C^* -algebras*, Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc. 424(1990).
- [37] Y. Watatani, private communication.